Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,650	TOMITA, AKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh D. Vo	2189
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Thanh D. Vo</u> .	(3) <u>George B.F. Yee (37,478)</u> .	
(2) Chun-Pok Leung (Reg. 41,405).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed amenda	,	representative.
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 12, and 17 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. If of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
J. 011		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ent/Annlicant's Penroconteti	ve Signature – if appropriate)
(Applica	annubhineant a trebieseiligi	ve Signature – ii appropriate)



Application No. 10/797,650

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with Applicant's representative regarding the allowable subject matter in claim 6 and 17 in combination with the independent claims 1 and 12 and requesting the Applicant's representative to file an extension of time in order to process the Examiner's Amendment..